
From: dave owens <rideforever@protonmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2026 7:35 PM
To: Vivian Carvalho <carvalhov@pfm.com>
Cc: steve.heizner@universityparkrd.com; scott.huebner@universityparkrd.com;
Steve.Swanson@universityparkrd.com
Subject: Follow-Up to August 24 Public Comment – \$1.07 Million Fitness Center Project

ALERT: This message is from an external source.**BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any link or attachment

Ms. Carvalho and Members of the Board,

I am following up on my public comments at the August 24 Board of Supervisors meeting concerning the approximately \$1.07 million allocated to reconfigure the existing fitness center.

As I said at the meeting, I support continued investment in University Park. My concern is not primarily the amount being spent. It is whether this expenditure actually addresses the underlying fitness-center problems the District itself has previously identified — inadequate space and capacity and aging equipment approaching obsolescence.

I listened to the remainder of the August 24 meeting and subsequently reviewed the meeting transcript. I did not hear my questions addressed, nor did I hear any indication of when or how the Board expects to address them.

Before the District commits this expenditure, I would appreciate clarification on the following:

1. What is the current scope and cost breakdown for the approximately \$1.07 million fitness-center project?
2. What specifically will the project accomplish with respect to usable fitness space and capacity?
3. How much of the \$1.07 million is allocated to replacing or adding fitness equipment, and what equipment is contemplated?
4. Is the renovated existing facility now considered the District's long-term solution to its fitness needs, or does the District's longer-range planning still contemplate a larger or new fitness facility?
5. If a larger or new facility remains a possibility, what portion of the proposed \$1.07 million investment would have continuing value or could be incorporated into that future facility, rather than becoming a stranded investment?

My concern remains the same one I expressed on August 24: I would rather see University Park solve the fitness-center problem than spend approximately \$1 million and discover afterward that we still have essentially the same fitness-center problem.

I am not asking the Board to delay needed investment. I am asking whether the District has determined that this project is the appropriate long-term solution before committing substantial capital to the existing facility.

Please also let me know **when and at what public meeting the Board expects to review and approve the detailed scope of the fitness-center project.**

Vivian, please include this correspondence in the appropriate District record and ensure that it is distributed to all members of the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you,

David Owens
University Park homeowner