

From: muchodeanaero@aol.com
To: [Kwame Jackson](#); [Vivian Carvalho](#)
Subject: Fw: Comments on Governance Committee document in June 2 Agenda
Date: Friday, June 26, 2026 6:33:46 PM

ALERT: This message is from an external source. **BE CAUTIOUS** before clicking any link or attachment

Dean Matt

aka *MuchoDeanAero*
aka *The Pickleball Pilot*
630.248.0646

MuchoDeanAero - aviation adventures and the home of The World Record 48-48-48 Pickleball Challenge

Golf Gear - golf accessories

Gone to Pot Book - cannabis industry non-fiction

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: muchodeanaero@aol.com <muchodeanaero@aol.com>
To: Vivian Carvalho <carvalhov@pfm.com>
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2026 at 06:33:01 PM EDT
Subject: Fw: Comments on Governance Committee document in June 2 Agenda

Dean Matt

aka *MuchoDeanAero*
aka *The Pickleball Pilot*
630.248.0646

MuchoDeanAero - aviation adventures and the home of The World Record 48-48-48 Pickleball Challenge

Golf Gear - golf accessories

Gone to Pot Book - cannabis industry non-fiction

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: muchodeanaero@aol.com <muchodeanaero@aol.com>
To: Steve Heitzner <steve.heizner@universityparkrd.com>; Steve Swanson <steve.swanson4@universityparkrd.com>; Greg Selepe <greg.selep@universityparkrd.com>; Scott Huebner <scott.huebner@universityparkrd.com>
Cc: Mark Barnebey <mbarnebey@blalockwalters.com>; Vivian Carvalho <carvalhov@pfm.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2026 at 08:24:42 AM EDT
Subject: Comments on Governance Committee document in June 2 Agenda

Please consider this comment on the Governance Committee document in the

June 2 agenda.

Overall, I believe the document is good and comprehensive.

I do, however, take exception to this clause:

"Committee members may be removed by majority of the Board with or without cause."

I recommend it be replaced by "Committee members may be removed only by unanimous decision of the Board."

Reasons:

- The Governance Committee must act as an independent audit department/committee acts within a corporate entity.
 - If it is doing its job, it will make recommendations and provide insights that may ruffle the feathers of some Board Members in its efforts to hold UPRD and Board Members accountable for the greater good of the community
- Allowing only a 3/5 vote to remove a Committee Member only invites gamesmanship to circumvent the reason why a Governance Committee is implemented in the first place
- If a Governance Committee was in place during the Dickson Administration and suggested:
 - "You shouldn't move forward with a referendum while language prohibits issuing additional bonds," or
 - "Sally - you just said the attorneys were OK with issuing the required legal opinion letter and then you provided a BOGUS legal opinion letter to the community as "proof" that the attorneys were OK with the Section 5.04 language...and then a week later the attorneys were in a Board Meeting saying they couldn't issue the required legal opinion letter and you didn't advise the residents but decided to move ahead with the referendum regardless. This isn't right and needs to be addressed, debated and/or the residents must know that your previous statement was not factual.", or
 - "David Murphy provided a false document as somehow proof that UPRD was in Compliance with having a 5 Year Plan when it doesn't. This is tantamount to an attempt to defraud residents
 - etc
 - etc
 - I believe that the UPRD Board Members (at that time) would simply have voted 3-2 or even 4-1 to replace Governance Committee Members who simply brought up these actual events which were counter to their agenda, rather than address the core issues brought up by the Governance Committee. Thus rendering the Governance Committee useless and nothing more than Kabuki Theater or a

performative exercise, giving residents no real protection from bad actors.

If you are all clear-eyed on what transpired, I believe you would all reach the same conclusion.

The Governance Committee needs to have TEETH to make sure UPRD Board Members follow the law, our CORE VALUES and act in the best interest of the community and not special interest groups like The Three Amigos.

If all Board Members TRULY believe in this, then they should only replace Governance Committee Board Members upon UNANIMOUS vote.

If the Board Members are truly cognizant of their fiduciary responsibility, acting according to the rule of law and OUR CORE VALUES...I would anticipate that, going forward, the Governance Committee would be as busy as the Maytag Repairman (i.e. nothing to do because there is nothing to fix or needs addressing...the Board Members would self-regulate and steer UPRD well-clear from potential legal challenges and from legal and financial jeopardy).

I, for one, would not agree to any settlement for, say, an appeal, if this provision is not changed as suggested.

Regards,

Dean Matt

aka *MuchoDeanAero*

aka *The Pickleball Pilot*

630.248.0646

MuchoDeanAero - aviation adventures and the home of The World Record 48-48-48 Pickleball Challenge

Golf Gear - golf accessories

Gone to Pot Book - cannabis industry non-fiction