

From: Valerie S <send2valerie@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 9:07:44 AM

To: Vivian Carvalho <carvalhov@pfm.com>; steve.heizner@universityparkrd.com <steve.heizner@universityparkrd.com>; scott.huebner@universityparkrd.com <scott.huebner@universityparkrd.com>; greg.selep@universityparkrd.com <greg.selep@universityparkrd.com>; steve.swanson4@universityparkrd.com <steve.swanson4@universityparkrd.com>

Subject: Board of Supervisors Open Seat

The Board held a **very recent** election to fill 2 open seats. The 2 with the highest votes were seated. Now that we have a third seat open it is ethical and logical that the person with the 3rd highest vote count should be appointed. **This would reflect the will of your constituents.** I believe that would be Rusty Pearsons.

Appointing anyone else, someone whom no one voted for, would be telling the residents that their input, opinion and vote didn't count.

This is precisely the source of the ongoing animosity among a sizable (but mostly silent) part of the UPCC community.

John Fetsick should not have any part in a governance committee. The suggestion is ludicrous.

Please reconsider the Board approval of spending 72K for a consultant before hosting the Town Hall. This is yet another example of putting the cart before the horse. I'm sure the consultant will be just as happy to take the job after we have the input of the community. If not, then they're not the right choice for us.

Respectfully,
Valerie Szymaniak